```
SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990
    United States Attorney
   JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Civil Division
    ILA C. DEISS, NY SBN 3052909
    Assistant United States Attorney
 5
       450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
       San Francisco, California 94102
       Telephone: (415) 436-7124
 6
       FAX: (415) 436-7169
 7
    Attorneys for Defendants
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
                                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
   NISHAT KOUSAR,
12
                                                    No. C 07-5221 EDL
13
                       Plaintiff.
                                                    PARTIES' JOINT REQUEST TO BE
14
                 v.
                                                    EXEMPT FROM FORMAL ADR
15 ROBERT MUELLER, III, Director,
                                                    PROCESS
    Federal Bureau of Investigations;
16 GREGORY CHRISTIAN, Acting Director,
   Nebraska Service Center, USCIS;
   EMILIO GONZALES, Director, U.S.
    Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS);
18 MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary,
    Department of Homeland Security;
   PAUL CLEMENT, Acting Attorney General,
    Department of Justice;
   TERRY RICE, San Francisco Field Office
20
    Director, USCIS;
21
                       Defendants.
22
       Each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has read either the handbook entitled "Dispute
23
    Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California," or the specified portions of the ADR
    Unit's Internet site <www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov>, discussed the available dispute resolution
    options provided by the court and private entities, and considered whether this case might benefit
26
27
    from any of them.
28
       Here, the parties agree that referral to a formal ADR process will not be beneficial because this
    Parties' Request to be Exempt from ADR Process
    C07-5221 EDL
```

1	mandamus action is limited to plaintiff's request that this Court compel defendants to adjudicate	
2	her application for adjustment of status. Given the substance of the action and the lack of any	
3	potential middle ground, ADR will only serve to multiply the proceedings and unnecessarily tax	
4	court resources.	
5	Accordingly, pursuant to ADR L.R. 3-3(c), the parties request the case be removed from the	
6	ADR Multi-Option Program and that they be excused from participating in the ADR phone	
7	conference and any further formal ADR process.	
8	Dated: December 28, 2007	Respectfully submitted,
9		SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney
10		Office States Attorney
11		
12		ILA C. DEISS
13		Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Defendants
14		
15	Dated: December 31, 2007	/s/ BEN LOVEMAN
16		Attorney for Plaintiff
17		
18	ORDER	
19	Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Tursuant to supuration, 11 13 30 OKDERED.	
21		
22	Date:	ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE
23		United States Magistrate Judge
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Parties' Request to be Exempt from ADR Process C07-5221 EDL	2